

Town Board Minutes

June 2, 2003

Meeting No. 20

A joint meeting of the Town Board and the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, New York, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York on the 2nd day of June 2003, at 6:30 PM and there were

PRESENT: MARK MONTOUR, COUNCIL MEMBER
RONALD RUFFINO, COUNCIL MEMBER
DONNA STEMPIAK, COUNCIL MEMBER
ROBERT GIZA, SUPERVISOR
REBECCA ANDERSON, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
JOHN GOBER, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
LAWRENCE KORZENIEWSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
MICHAEL MYZKA, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
STANLEY KEYSA, PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN*

ABSENT: RICHARD ZARBO, COUNCIL MEMBER
STEVEN SOCHA, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
MELVIN SZYMANSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT: JOHANNA COLEMAN, TOWN CLERK
RICHARD SHERWOOD, TOWN ATTORNEY
GEORGE PEASE, ASSISTANT BUILDING INSPECTOR

* Chairman Keysa exited the meeting at 7:04 P.M. prior to the conduct of the third SEQR for Keysa Park renovations.

PURPOSE OF MEETING:

This joint meeting of the Town Board and Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster was held for the purpose of acting as a Municipal Review Committee for three (3) actions.

**IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE
LASH WORK ENVIRONMENTS INC., SITE PLAN**

The Municipal Review Committee proceeded with the Long Environmental Assessment Form on the LASH Work Environments Inc site plan matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Long Environmental Assessment Form entitled “Part 2 Project Impacts and Their Magnitude” which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED
BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER
WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA, TO WIT:

RESOLVED, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted:

**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION
LASH WORK ENVIRONMENTS INC. SITE PLAN
NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is a Type 1 action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact relative to the criteria found in 6NYCRR, Part 617.7, the lead agency now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with 617.12.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster
21 Central Avenue
Lancaster, New York 14086
Richard J. Sherwood, Town Attorney
716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 3.5 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is east side of 4343 Walden Avenue, Lancaster, County of Erie, New York.

REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found the proposed action impacts to be as follows:

1. The proposed action will not result in a physical change to the project site.
2. The proposed action will not effect any unique or unusual land forms found on the site.
3. The proposed action will not affect any water body designated as protected.
4. The proposed action will not affect any non-protected existing or new body of water.
5. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on surface or ground water quality or quantity.
It is noted that a State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Permit for Discharge from Construction Activities is required during construction.
6. The proposed action will not alter drainage flow patterns or surface water runoff.
7. The proposed action will not affect air quality.
8. The proposed action may have a small to moderate impact on threatened or endangered species.
It is noted that pesticides or herbicides may be applied more than twice a year for lawn care purposes.
9. The proposed action will not substantially affect non-threatened or non-endangered species.
10. The proposed action will not affect agricultural land resources.
11. The proposed action will not affect aesthetic resources.
12. The proposed action will not impact any site or structure of historic, pre-historic or paleontological importance.
13. The proposed action will not affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities.
14. The Town of Lancaster has not established a critical environmental area (CEA) pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR617.14(g), therefore the proposed action will not impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA).

- 15. The proposed action will not affect existing transportation systems.
- 16. The proposed action will not affect the community's sources of fuel or energy supply.
- 17. There will not be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of this proposed action.
- 18. The proposed action will not affect public health and safety.
- 19. The proposed action may have a small to moderate impact on the character of the existing community.
 - a.) It is noted that the development may create a demand for additional police and fire services.
 - b.) It is noted that there may be a small to moderate increase in employment.
- 20. There is not, nor is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

s/s _____

SEAL

Robert H. Giza, Supervisor
Town of Lancaster

June 2, 2003

and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a vote on roll call, which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER ZARBO	WAS ABSENT
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

The Notice of Determination was thereupon unanimously adopted.

June 2, 2003

**IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE
TWIN DISTRICT VOLUNTEER FIRE COMPANY SITE PLAN**

The Municipal Review Committee proceeded with the Long Environmental Assessment Form on the Twin District Volunteer Fire Company site plan matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Long Environmental Assessment Form entitled “Part 2 Project Impacts and Their Magnitude” which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI WHO
MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY COUNCIL
MEMBER STEMPIAK, TO WIT:

RESOLVED, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted:

**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION
TWIN DISTRICT VOLUNTEER FIRE COMPANY SITE PLAN
NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is a Type 1 action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact relative to the criteria found in 6NYCRR, Part 617.7, the lead agency now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with 617.12.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster
21 Central Avenue
Lancaster, New York 14086
Richard J. Sherwood, Town Attorney
716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 2.8 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is 4983 William Street, Lancaster, County of Erie, New York.

REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found the proposed action impacts to be as follows:

1. The proposed action will not result in a physical change to the project site.
2. The proposed action will not effect any unique or unusual land forms found on the site.
3. The proposed action will not affect any water body designated as protected.
It is noted that the architect has been requested to work with the Town Engineer to utilize oversize drainage pipes for on-site detention.
4. The proposed action will not affect any non-protected existing or new body of water.
5. The proposed action may have a small to moderate impact on surface or ground water quality or quantity.

It is noted that a State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Permit for Discharge from Construction Activities is required during construction.
6. The proposed action will not alter drainage flow patterns or surface water runoff.
7. The proposed action will not affect air quality.
8. The proposed action will not affect any threatened or endangered species.
9. The proposed action will not substantially affect non-threatened or non-endangered species.
10. The proposed action will not affect agricultural land resources.
11. The proposed action will not affect aesthetic resources.
12. The proposed action will not impact any site or structure of historic, pre-historic or paleontological importance.
13. The proposed action will not affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities.

14. The Town of Lancaster has not established a critical environmental area (CEA) pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR617.14(g), therefore the proposed action will not impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA).
15. The proposed action will not affect existing transportation systems.
16. The proposed action will not affect the community's sources of fuel or energy supply.
17. There will not be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of this proposed action.
18. The proposed action will not affect public health and safety.
19. The proposed action may have a small to moderate impact on the character of the existing community.
It is noted that employment may be created during construction.
20. There is not, nor is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

s/s_____

SEAL

Robert H. Giza, Supervisor

Town of Lancaster

June 2, 2003

and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a vote on roll call, which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPIAK	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER ZARBO	WAS ABSENT
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

The Notice of Determination was thereupon unanimously adopted.

June 2, 2003

**IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE
KEYSA PARK POOL RENOVATION**

The Municipal Review Committee proceeded with the short Environmental Assessment Form on the Keysa Park Pool renovation with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Short Environmental Assessment Form, entitled "Part II Environmental Assessment", which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED
BY COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPIAK
WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO, TO WIT:

RESOLVED, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted.

**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION:
KEYSA PARK POOL RENOVATION
NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an unlisted action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact relative to the criteria found in 6NYCRR, Part 617.7, the lead agency now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with 617.12.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster
21 Central Avenue
Lancaster, New York 14086
Richard J. Sherwood, Town Attorney
716-684-3342

NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The location of the premises being reviewed is situate at Brady Avenue and Vandenburg Avenue, Lancaster, County of Erie, New York.

REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found, in their item for item completion of the Short Environmental Assessment Form on this proposed action as follows:

- A. The action does not exceed any type 1 threshold in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.4.
- B. The action will receive coordinated review as provided for unlisted actions in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.6.
- C. The proposed action will not result in any adverse effects associated with the following: (except as noted)
 - C.1 Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposal, potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.2 Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.3 Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species significant habitats, or threatened or endangered species.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.4 A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.5 Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the proposed action.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.6 Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5.

No significant adverse effects noted

- C.7 Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type of energy).

No significant adverse effects noted

- D. The Town of Lancaster has not established a critical environmental area (CEA) pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR617.14(g), therefore the proposed action will not impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA).
- E. There is not, nor is there likely to be, controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

s/s _____
Robert H. Giza, Supervisor
Town of Lancaster

SEAL

June 2, 2003

and,

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

BE IT FURTHER

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non_Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a vote on roll call, which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER ZARBO	WAS ABSENT
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	WAS ABSENT

The Notice of Determination was thereupon unanimously adopted.

June 2, 2003

ADJOURNMENT:

ON MOTION OF COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO, AND SECONDED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI FOR ADJOURNMENT OF THE MEETING, by voice vote, which resulted as follows:

SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPIAK	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER ZARBO	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	WAS ABSENT*

Chairman Keysa exited meeting at 7:04 P.M.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:07 P.M.

Signed _____
Johanna M. Coleman, Town Clerk